

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). F1	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/016,984		12/07/2001	Ronald E. Dykes	21917-P003US	21917-P003US 4963		
28277	7590	03/17/2004		EXAMINER			
WINSTE	AD SECHI	REST & MINICK	THALER, MICHAEL H				
2400 BAN	K ONE CE	NTER					
910 TRAV	IS STREET			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
HOUSTO	N, TX 770	02		3731			

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004

3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_			A_{c}
`	Ar	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	—— /
,i	10	0/016,984	DYKES, RONALD	E. /
Office Action Summ	ary Ex	aminer	Art Unit	
		chael Thaler	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this concerns of the Period for Reply	ommunication appear	s on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If the period for reply specified above is less the - If NO period for reply is specified above, the ma - Failure to reply within the set or extended perio - Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). this communication. an thirty (30) days, a reply with aximum statutory period will ap d for reply will, by statute, caus e months after the mailing date	In no event, however, may a in the statutory minimum of the ply and will expire SIX (6) MC set the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely DNTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•		
1) Responsive to communicatio	n(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This act	ion is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in co		• /		merits is
closed in accordance with the	e practice under Ex p	arte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending	in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn f	rom consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed	d.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.				•
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	ed to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to	o restriction and/or ele	ection requirement.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected t	to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on	_is/are: a)☐ accepte	ed or b) objected to	o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that a				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) i	ncluding the correction i	s required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is obj	ected to by the Exam	iner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for foreign prid	ority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) No		•		
1. Certified copies of the		ave been received.		
2. Certified copies of the	,		Application No	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		en received in this National	Stage
application from the In				•
* See the attached detailed Office	ce action for a list of the	he certified copies no	ot received.	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Daviour (DTO 048)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2. 			f Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/016,984

Art Unit: 3731

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 7, line 20, "3" should be "7". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 28 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 28 depends from claim 27.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, lines 6 and 8 (of the claim, not the page), "therethrough" is confusing and inaccurate since the each opening does not extend completely through the guard. Claims 7, 14, 21 and 25 are indefinite for the same reasons. In claim 1, line 6, "for receiving the handle portion" is confusing and inaccurate since the opening at 22 receives threads 26 which are on the reduced diameter portion 18 rather

Application/Control Number: 10/016,984 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

than the handle portion 12 as claimed, noting page 8, lines 25-26 and page 10, lines 6-9 of the specification. Claim 7 is indefinite for the same reasons. In claim 14, line 6, "handle threads" is indefinite for similar reasons. In claim 21, line 19, "axial to" is not understood. In claim 21, line 16, "reduced diameter portion of the instrument handle" is confusing and inaccurate since the reduced diameter portion 18 is adjacent to rather than part of the instrument handle portion 12 as indicated on page 8, lines 22-23. In claim 26, lines 3-4, there is no antecedent basis for "the surgical knife".

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12, 14-21, 23, 25, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mesa (5,254,128) in view of Webb et al. (5,830,226). As to claim 1, Mesa discloses body 10 having handle portion 12 and distal end 14 with instrument 16, guard member 20 and means 24 for locking the guard member in either an open or closed position. Mesa fails

Application/Control Number: 10/016,984 Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

to disclose a grip on the quard member 20. However, Webb et al. teach that a slidable quard member for a scalpel should include a grip (at 42) to facilitate grasping thereof (col. 3, lines 57-61). It would have been obvious to include a grip on the Mesa guard so that it too would have this advantage. As to claim 2, for example, Mesa fails to disclose the guard member 20 being translucent. However, Webb et al. teach that a slidable guard member for a scalpel should be clear apparently to facilitate viewing the blade (col. 5, lines 41-45). It would have been obvious to make the Mesa guard translucent so that it too would have this advantage. As to claims 5, 6 and 11, the undulating hills between the grooves 42 of Mesa are ridges, bumps or protrusions. As to claims 7, 14, 21 and 25, Mesa fails to disclose a third opening on the guard member 20. However, Webb et al. teach that a slidable quard member for a scalpel should include a third opening (44 or 86) to facilitate full resterilization (col. 3, line 62 to col. 4, line 5 and col. 4, line 65 to col. 5, line 5). It would have been obvious to include a third opening on the Mesa guard translucent so that it too would have this advantage.

Claims 22, 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mesa (5,254,128) in view of Webb et al. (5,830,226) as applied to claims 21 and 25 above, and further in

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/016,984

Art Unit: 3731

view of either Dotson et al. (4,414,974) or Schmidt (4,569,133). Mesa fails to disclose a plurality of protrusions on the quard member 20 which reduce the rolling capacity of the surgical However, Dotson et al. teach that a slidable guard knife. member for a scalpel should include protrusions (the peaks at the corners of the octagon shape which protrude radially outwardly more than the other portions of the guard as shown in figure 5) to reduce the rolling capacity of the surgical knife It would have been obvious to include (col. 4, lines 51-63). protrusions on the Mesa guard so that it too would have this Alternatively, Webb et al. teach that a rotatable advantage. member on a scalpel should include protrusions (best seen in figure 2) apparently to facilitate grasping thereof. have been obvious to include protrusions on the Mesa rotatable quard so that it too would have this advantage during screwing and unscrewing the threaded connection at 24/26 or 24/22. protrusions inherently reduce the rolling capacity of surgical knife.

Claim 13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,984

Art Unit: 3731

Page 6

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is

considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or

communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael

Thaler whose telephone number is (703) 308-2981. The examiner

can normally be reached Monday to Friday.

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Milano can

be reached on (703)308-2496. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

(703)872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status

of this application or proceeding should be directed to the

receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0858.

mht

3/12/04

MICHAEL THALER PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 3731